To: Members of the European Parliament - Environment Committee

Subject: include textiles and electronics in the scope of an immediate ban on the destruction of unsold goods

26th May 2023

Dear Members of the European Parliament ENVI Committee,

The destruction of unsold products represents the most wasteful scenario conceivable in a linear economy. All upstream pollution involved in bringing a product to the market, including those related to the extraction of raw materials, manufacturing, assembly and distribution take place without delivering any utility to society. The 46 undersigned NGOs and business associations urge Environment Committee members to support an immediate ban on the destruction of unsold textiles and electronics. This letter illustrates that there is sufficient evidence and a robust case to support a ban for textiles and electronics in Article 20 of the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation.

The case for textiles

A huge share of all textiles are unsold, estimated at 4.1% in Franceⁱ and 6% in the Netherlandsⁱⁱ. Though some of these products are re-sold in secondary markets, a considerable share are destroyed, estimated to be 11%ⁱ in France and 6% in the Netherlands. In Norwayⁱⁱⁱ and Denmark^{iv} 825 and 677 tonnes of clothing are unsold and/or destroyed each year respectively.

In the case of t-shirts alone it is estimated that between 11 and 32 million new t-shirts are destroyed in the EU each year. This results in up to 220,000 tonnes of CO2eq, 35 billion litres of water use, 12,300 tonnes of wasted cotton, and 1.9 million litres of wasted oil. Across the whole textile sector the carbon footprint of unsold destroyed textiles in the EU is between 1.2 and 3.3 Mt of CO2eq.^v

The report on the Textile Strategy^{vi} has now paved the way for a ban on the destruction of unsold textiles; and the Council's General Approach further supports a ban for textiles, though with an unnecessarily long 36 month delay.

The case for electronics

Electrical and electronic equipment continue to be one of the fastest growing waste streams in the EU, with an annual growth rate of 2%. E-waste has proven to be a challenging waste stream with low collection rates (less than 40% of electronic waste is recycled in the EU^{vii}). Closely related digital services account for 4.2% of European GHG emissions, 54% of this results from the manufacturing of equipment.^{viii}

Analysis from France suggests that around 1% of all electronic appliances remain unsold and destroyed each year.ⁱ In the case of just microwaves and kettles alone, it is estimated that 98,000 and 140,000 units are destroyed respectively each year. For these two products this represents 25,000 tonnes of CO2eq, 690 tonnes of steel, 110 tonnes of glass, 2 million litres of water annually.^{ix} The electronics sector comprises 1000s of product groups with a growing diversity.

Opportunity and recommendations

Ending the destruction of unsold goods will bring a number of benefits:

- Reducing environmental impacts and preventing waste from the textile and EEE sectors
- Promoting industrial design and management innovation towards ending overproduction in the first place
- Remaining unsold goods provide an opportunity for secondary markets, for example feeding refurbishers and social economy actors with new products and parts
- Supporting strategic autonomy by reducing Europe's economic dependency on natural resource depletion, including for Critical Raw Materials

The economic opportunity of finding new markets and utility for unsold products should not be underestimated. Projections show the value of destroyed electronics and clothing in the EU will amount to \in 21.74 billion by 2022, which is larger than the entire GDP of Cyprus for the year 2020. If no policy measures are taken, this could increase to up to \in 71.29 billion by 2030, as much as the revenue generated by the entire German e-commerce market in 2019.^x

Key recommendations for the ENVI Committee:

- Support an **immediate ban** for the destruction of both unsold textiles and electronics
 with no more than a delay of 12 months after the entry into force.
- **Include medium size enterprises** in the scope of the ban, noting these companies have revenues up to 43 million EUR and overall SMEs are responsible for 60% of CO2 emissions and pollution.^{xi}
- Support **broad definitions of textiles and electronics**. The definition of EEE can be taken from the WEEE Directive (2012/19/EU), while the definition of textiles should include clothing, footwear and household textiles at a minimum.
- Find a robust solution to the risk that **many unsold products which are reported to be sent for recycling will ultimately be destroyed** anyway. This reality could undermine the objectives of this provision and divert usable products away from preferable solutions such as resale or refurbishment.

Yours faithfully,

[please see the supporting organisations on the following pages]



Organisation BackMarket

САТАРА **Changing Markets Foundation** Danish Society Nature Conservation De Transformisten vzw Društvo Ekologi brez meja DUH ECOS En mode climat European Environmental Bureau Eurefas Fair Trade Advocacy Fixfirst France Nature Environnement Free ICT Europe Friends of the Eath Europe Fundación Vida Sostenible Future in our hands Green Liberty **Green Transition** Halte à l'Obsolescence Programmée (HOP) **HEJ!** Support iFixit IndustriAll European Trade Union Ingeniería Sin Fronteras Les Amis de la Terre Municipal Waste Europe Polish Zero Waste Association RCUBE Recommerce **Recycling Netwerk Benelux** Refurbed Repair & Share vzw Repair Together asbl **Restart Project Right to Repair Europe** RREUSE SETEM Share & Repair Network Umwelt Dachverband VOICE VšJ "Žiedinė ekonomika" Waag Futurelab ZERO Zero Waste Europe

Refurbishment business, France NGO Belgium

Foundation, UK

NGO, Denmark NGO, Belgium NGO, Slovenia NGO, Germany NGO, international Business association, France NGO. international Business association, international NGO, Belgium Software company, Germany NGO. France Business association, international NGO. international Foundation, Spain NGO, Norway NGO, Latvia NGO, Denmark NGO, France NGO, Germany Repair business, international Trade Union, international NGO, Spain NGO, France Business association, international NGO, Poland **Reuse Federation, France** Refurbishment business, France NGO, Netherlands Refurbishment business, Austria NGO, Belgium NGO, Belgium Repair network, international Repair network, international Reuse network, international NGO, Spain Repair network, Scotland NGO, Austria NGO, Ireland NGO, Lithuania Resaerch group, Netherlands NGO, Portugal NGO, international

Contact

alexandre.tanay@backmarket.com dries.vandevelde@catapa.be urska.trunk@changingmarketsfoundation. org mette@dn.dk inez@detransformisten.be jaka.kranjc@ocistimo.si miruchna@duh.de valeria.botta@ecostandard.org flore@loom.fr jean-pierre.schweitzer@eeb.org eva.sluismans@euralia.eu conesa@fairtrade-advocacy.org sebastian@fixfirst.io arnaud.schwartz@fne.asso.fr jan.hoogstrate@free-ict-europe.eu meadhbh.bolger@foeeurope.org laura.gonzalez@vidasostenible.org aasa@framtiden.no info@zalabriviba.lv lone@rgo.dk flavie.vonderscher@halteobsolescence.or info@hej-support.org thomas.opsomer@ifixit.com elspeth.hathaway@industriall-europe.eu sara.dominguez@isf.es pierrecondamine@amisdelaterre.org info@municipalwasteeurope.eu piotr.barczak@zero-waste.pl benoit@rcube.org pe.roinat@recommerce.com janine.roling@recyclingnetwerk.org paul.ploberger@refurbed.com rosalie@repairshare.be luc.deriez@repairtogether.be ugo@therestartproject.org info@repair.eu neva.nahtigal@rreuse.org cbosch@setem.org shareandrepair@circularcommunities.scot sophia.kratz@umweltdachverband.at solene@voiceireland.org domantas@circulareconomy.lt sander@waag.org susana.fonseca@zero.ong aline@zerowasteeurope.eu

References

ⁱ ADEME (2021). Etude des gisements et causes des invendus non alimentaires et de leurs voies d'écoulement. (including footwear) <u>https://librairie.ademe.fr/dechetseconomie-circulaire/5035-etude-des-gisements-et-des-causes-des-invendusnon-alimentaires-et-de-leurs-voies-d-ecoulement.html</u>

ⁱⁱ Kort et al (2020). Ongebruikt textiel. Onderzoek naar de wijze waarop de textielketen omgaat met ongebruikt en nieuw textiel. <u>https://open.overheid.nl/repository/ronl-a34602ee-de11-454a-</u> a40a897f876426b6/1/pdf/eindrapport-omgang-textiel.pdf

^{III} Forbruker Radet (2022) HM pålegges å gi informasjon om overproduksjon av klær. <u>https://www.forbrukerradet.no/siste-nytt/hennes-mauritz-palegges-a-gi-informasjon-om-overproduksjon-av-klaer/</u>

^{iv} Skjold et al (2021) Design for Circularity in Denmark. Take-back of textiles. https://kglakademi.dk/sites/default/files/downloads/news/take-

back of textiles design for circularity.pdf

^v Cambridge Econometrics (2022) New EU eco-design proposals: case studies to illustrate their potential impact. <u>https://eeb.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Impact-of-EU-ecodesign-regs.pdf</u> ^{vi} European Parliament (2023) Report on an EU Strategy for Sustainable and Circular Textiles https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/A-9-2023-0176 EN.html

vii Eurostat (2023) Waste Statistics - Electrical and Electronic Equipment.

https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/table.do?tab=table&init=1&language=en&pcode=t2020_rt130&plugi n=1

^{viii} GreenIT.fr. (2021) Digital technologies in Europe: an environmental life cycle approach (summary report). <u>https://extranet.greens-efa.eu/public/media/file/1/7402</u>

^{ix} Cambridge Econometrics (2023) New EU eco-design proposals: case studies to illustrate their potential impact. <u>https://eeb.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Impact-of-EU-ecodesign-regs.pdf</u> ^x Okopol (2021) Policy brief on Prohibiting the Destruction of Unsold Goods. <u>https://eeb.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Prohibiting-the-destruction-of-unsold-goods-Policy-brief-2021.pdf</u>

^{xi} Ecopreneur (2021) Why sustainable SMEs hold the key to the circular economy https://ecopreneur.eu/wp-

content/uploads/2021/11/Ecopreneur_eu_Sustainable_SMEs_paper_final_2021-09.pdf